


# Summary

- *Frequency-domain representation of discrete signals and systems*
  - *Response of an LTI system to a complex exponential*
  - *Fourier representation of a discrete-time sequence*
- *A Review of the discrete-time Fourier Transform (DTFT)*
  - *Symmetry properties of the Fourier Transform*
  - *Theorems regarding the Fourier Transform*
  - *Table of Fourier pairs*
- *The DTFT of the auto-correlation and of the cross-correlation*
  - *the DTFT of the auto-correlation*
  - *the DTFT of the cross-correlation*
  - *examples*



## Frequency-domain representation of discrete signals & systems

- **Question:** what is the output of an LTI system when the input is a complex exponential ?


$$x[n] = e^{j\omega n}, \quad -\infty < n < +\infty$$

$$y[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[n]h[n-k] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} h[k]x[n-k] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} h[k]e^{j\omega(n-k)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} h[k]e^{-j\omega k}e^{j\omega n} = H(e^{j\omega})e^{j\omega n}$$

- **Answer:** it's the complex exponential possibly modified in magnitude and phase according to the frequency response of the LTI system.
- **Note:** this result reveals that  $e^{j\omega n}$  is an eigen function of the LTI system and that  $H(e^{j\omega})$  is the eigen value of the system at the angular frequency  $\omega$  radians.
- **Definition of the frequency response of an LTI system**  
(obtained by computing the Fourier transform of its impulse response)

$$H(e^{j\omega}) \triangleq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} h[n]e^{-j\omega n} = |H(e^{j\omega})|e^{j\angle H(e^{j\omega})}$$

- $|H(e^{j\omega})|$  → absolute value of the frequency response of the system
- $\angle H(e^{j\omega})$  → phase of the frequency response of the system



## Frequency-domain representation of discrete signals & systems


- **Example:** what is the response of an LTI system, with  $h[n]$  real, to the input  $x[n] = A \cos(\omega_0 n + \phi)$  ?


- **Answer:**  $x[n]$  may be expressed in a convenient way:  $x[n] = \frac{A}{2} [e^{j(\omega_0 n + \phi)} + e^{-j(\omega_0 n + \phi)}]$  and then:

$$y[n] = \frac{A}{2} [H(e^{j\omega_0}) e^{j(\omega_0 n + \phi)} + H(e^{-j\omega_0}) e^{-j(\omega_0 n + \phi)}] = A |H(e^{j\omega_0})| \cos[\omega_0 n + \phi + \angle H(e^{j\omega_0})]$$

- Important property of  $H(e^{j\omega})$   
given the periodicity of the discrete complex exponential,  $e^{j\omega n}$ , the frequency response  $H(e^{j\omega})$  is periodic with period  $2\pi$ , so that in order to characterize it completely, it is sufficient to represent the magnitude and phase considering a frequency span of  $2\pi$  radians, e.g., between  $-\pi$  and  $+\pi$  or 0 and  $2\pi$ .
- Example: what is the frequency response of a moving-average filter of length 5 ?


$$h[n] = \begin{cases} 1/5 & 0 \leq n \leq 4 \\ 0 & \text{outros} \end{cases}$$





# Frequency-domain representation of discrete signals & systems


- **Answer:** using the definition of the time-discrete Fourier transform:



$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{n=0}^4 e^{-jn\omega} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-j5\omega}}{1 - e^{-j\omega}} = \frac{1}{5} e^{-j2\omega} \frac{\sin \frac{5}{2}\omega}{\sin \frac{\omega}{2}} = |H(e^{j\omega})| e^{j\angle H(e^{j\omega})}$$

**NOTE 1:** the magnitude function is even.

**NOTE 2:** the phase function is odd.




**Question 1:** why is that

$$\angle H(e^{j\omega}) \neq -2\omega ?$$

(note that  $-1 = e^{\pm j\pi}$ )

**Question 2:** why is that in this representation of  $\angle H(e^{j\omega})$  we say that the phase is *wrapped* ?  
(what is the fundamental period in the representation of phase ?)



## Fourier representation of a discrete sequence

$$x[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} d\omega \quad \xleftrightarrow{F} \quad X(e^{j\omega}) = |X(e^{j\omega})| e^{j\angle X(e^{j\omega})} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[n] e^{-j\omega n}$$


- the Fourier transform of a discrete-time signal  $x[n]$  is periodic with period  $2\pi$  and exists if  $x[n]$  is absolutely summable
- the inverse Fourier transform allows to synthesize  $x[n]$  using a period of its representation in the frequency domain

### – Example:

$$x[n] = a^n u[n] \quad \xleftrightarrow{F} \quad X(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a^n e^{-j\omega n} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (ae^{-j\omega})^n = \frac{1}{1 - ae^{-j\omega}}$$


↑

$$\text{if } |ae^{-j\omega}| < 1 \quad \therefore \quad |a| < 1$$




## Fourier representation of a discrete sequence

- Example:** what is the impulse response of an ideal low-pass filter ?




$$H_{PB}(e^{j\omega}) \xleftrightarrow{F} h_{PB}[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{PB}(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{\sin n\omega_c}{n\pi}$$

$$-\infty < n < +\infty$$



NOTE:  $h_{PB}[n]$  consists of an IIR non-causal system that is not realizable !

NOTE+: the response  $h_{PB}[n]$  is not absolutely summable, but its square is summable, which highlights the fact that a filter resulting from  $h_{PB}[n]$  by limiting its length, is the best approximation, in the mean-square sense, to  $H_{PB}(e^{j\omega})$  (i.e. to the ideal filter).




# Fourier representation of a discrete sequence


## – special cases

these are special cases because they are neither absolutely summable nor square-summable, they arise from the theory of generalized functions but they are very important in the analysis of signals and discrete-time systems:


### • train of impulses




### • unitary complex exponential



### • unitary step





## Symmetry properties of the time-discrete Fourier transform

- given  $x[n]$ , we may express  $x[n] = x_e[n] + x_o[n]$  where:

$$x_e[n] = \frac{1}{2} (x[n] + x^*[-n]) = x_e^*[-n]$$

- $x_e[n]$  is the conjugate symmetric sequence of  $x[n]$ ; in case  $x[n]$  is real,  $x_e[n]$  is also known as the *even* component of  $x[n]$  since  $x_e[n] = x_e[-n]$

$$x_o[n] = \frac{1}{2} (x[n] - x^*[-n]) = -x_o^*[-n]$$

- $x_o[n]$  is the conjugate anti-symmetric sequence of  $x[n]$ ; in case  $x[n]$  is real,  $x_o[n]$  is also known as the *odd* component of  $x[n]$  since  $x_o[n] = -x_o[-n]$

- similarly,  $X(e^{j\omega}) = X_e(e^{j\omega}) + X_o(e^{j\omega})$


$$X_e(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} [X(e^{j\omega}) + X^*(e^{-j\omega})] = X_e^*(e^{-j\omega})$$

- $X_e(e^{j\omega})$  is the conjugate symmetric function of  $X(e^{j\omega})$ ,  $X_e(e^{j\omega})$  is also said the *even* component of  $X(e^{j\omega})$  when  $X(e^{j\omega})$  is real-valued



$$X_o(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} [X(e^{j\omega}) - X^*(e^{-j\omega})] = -X_o^*(e^{-j\omega})$$

- $X_o(e^{j\omega})$  is the conjugate anti-symmetric function of  $X(e^{j\omega})$ ,  $X_o(e^{j\omega})$  is also said the *odd* component of  $X(e^{j\omega})$  when  $X(e^{j\omega})$  is real-valued





# Main symmetry properties of the time-discrete Fourier transform




*i.e.* the transform is conjugate symmetric :

$$X_{\Re}(e^{j\omega}) = X_{\Re}(e^{-j\omega})$$

$$X_{\Im}(e^{j\omega}) = -X_{\Im}(e^{-j\omega})$$

$$|X(e^{j\omega})| = |X(e^{-j\omega})|$$

$$\angle X(e^{j\omega}) = -\angle X(e^{-j\omega})$$



# Review of the main Fourier transform theorems

(relate operations involving discrete sequences and the corresponding operations in the Fourier domain)

$$x[n], y[n] \xleftrightarrow{F} X(e^{j\omega}), Y(e^{j\omega})$$

linearity

$$ax[n] + by[n]$$

$$aX(e^{j\omega}) + bY(e^{j\omega})$$

shift in  $n$

$$x[n - n_d]$$

$$e^{-j\omega n_d} X(e^{j\omega})$$

$n_d$  inteiro

shift in  $\omega$

$$e^{j\omega_0 n} x[n]$$

$$X[e^{j(\omega - \omega_0)}]$$

‘time’ reversal

$$x[-n]$$

$$X(e^{-j\omega})$$

differentiation in  $\omega$

$$nx[n]$$

$$j \frac{dX(e^{j\omega})}{d\omega}$$

why is there no  
“differentiation” in  $n$  ?

convolution

$$x[n] * y[n]$$

$$X(e^{j\omega}) \cdot Y(e^{j\omega})$$

product

$$x[n] \cdot y[n]$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\theta}) Y(e^{j(\omega - \theta)}) d\theta$$

(periodic convolution)

Parseval theorem

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[n] \cdot y^*[n]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) Y^*(e^{j\omega}) d\omega$$

Parseval theorem  
(particular case)


$$E = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[n]|^2$$



energy

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |X(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega$$

energy spectral density



# Tabela de pares de Fourier

example:

$$a^n u[n], \quad |a| < 1$$

$x[n]$

$\mathcal{F}$



$X(e^{j\omega})$

$$\frac{1}{1 - ae^{-j\omega}}$$

$$\delta[n]$$

$$1$$

$$\delta[n - n_0]$$

$$e^{-j\omega n_0}$$

$$\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta[n - \ell]$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2\pi \delta(\omega + k2\pi)$$

$$e^{j\omega_0 n}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2\pi \delta(\omega - \omega_0 + k2\pi)$$

$$u[n]$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-j\omega}} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \pi \delta(\omega + k2\pi)$$

$$(n+1)a^n u[n], \quad |a| < 1$$

$$1/|1 - ae^{-j\omega}|^2$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq n \leq M \\ 0, & \text{outros} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\sin(M+1)\frac{\omega}{2}}{\sin \frac{\omega}{2}} \cdot e^{-j\omega \frac{M}{2}}$$

$$\cos(\omega_0 n + \phi)$$


$$\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} [e^{j\phi} \delta(\omega - \omega_0 + k2\pi) + e^{-j\phi} \delta(\omega + \omega_0 + k2\pi)]$$

$$\frac{\sin n\omega_c}{n\pi}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & |\omega| < \omega_c \\ 0, & \omega_c < |\omega| \leq \pi \end{cases}$$

$$r^n \frac{\sin \omega_p (n+1)}{\sin \omega_p} u[n], \quad |r| < 1$$

$$1/(1 - 2r \cos \omega_p e^{-j\omega} + r^2 e^{-j2\omega})$$



Question: what is a practical way to find the inverse Fourier transform ?

• **Example:**  $X(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{(1 - ae^{-j\omega})(1 - be^{-j\omega})}$ , **causal**  $\xleftrightarrow{F}$   $x[n] = ?$

if  $M < N$  and poles are first-order, then:


with :  $A_k = (1 - d_k e^{-j\omega}) X(e^{j\omega}) \Big|_{e^{j\omega} = d_k}$

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^M (1 - c_\ell e^{-j\omega})}{\prod_{k=1}^N (1 - d_k e^{-j\omega})} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k}{1 - d_k e^{-j\omega}}$$

and thus:  $\frac{1}{(1 - ae^{-j\omega})(1 - be^{-j\omega})} = \frac{a/(a-b)}{1 - ae^{-j\omega}} + \frac{b/(b-a)}{1 - be^{-j\omega}}$

which leads to:  $x(n) = \frac{a}{a-b} a^n u[n] + \frac{b}{b-a} b^n u[n]$

Not to forget !



The DTFT of the auto-correlation and of the cross-correlation

- the DTFT of the auto-correlation

the auto-correlation is defined as (in this discussion, we admit energy signals)

$$r_x[\ell] = x[\ell] * x^*[-\ell] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[k] x^*[k - \ell]$$


considering the DTFT properties

$$\begin{aligned} x[\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X(e^{j\omega}) \\ x^*[\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X^*(e^{-j\omega}) \\ x[-\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X(e^{-j\omega}) \\ x^*[-\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X^*(e^{j\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$r_x[\ell] = x[\ell] * x^*[-\ell] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} R_x(e^{j\omega}) = X(e^{j\omega}) \cdot X^*(e^{j\omega}) = |X(e^{j\omega})|^2$$

Where  $R_x(e^{j\omega}) = |X(e^{j\omega})|^2$  is called the spectral density of energy



## The DTFT of the auto-correlation and of the cross-correlation

- the DTFT of the auto-correlation (cont.)
  - the Wiener-Khinchine Theorem: the auto-correlation and the spectral density of energy form a Fourier pair

$$r_x[\ell] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} R_x(e^{j\omega}) = |X(e^{j\omega})|^2$$


thus,

$$r_x[\ell] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega\ell} d\omega$$

and, in particular, the energy of the signal can be found using

$$E = r_x[0] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x[k]|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R(e^{j\omega}) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |X(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega$$

which reflects the Parseval Theorem



The DTFT of the auto-correlation and of the cross-correlation

- the DTFT of the cross-correlation

the cross-correlation is defined as (we admit energy signals)


$$r_{xy}[\ell] = x[\ell] * y^*[-\ell] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[k] y^*[k - \ell]$$

considering the DTFT properties

$$\begin{aligned} x[\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X(e^{j\omega}) \\ y[\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} Y(e^{j\omega}) \\ y^*[\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} Y^*(e^{-j\omega}) \\ y[-\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} Y(e^{-j\omega}) \\ y^*[-\ell] &\xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} Y^*(e^{j\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

then



$$r_{xy}[\ell] = x[\ell] * y^*[-\ell] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} R_{xy}(e^{j\omega}) = X(e^{j\omega}) \cdot Y^*(e^{j\omega})$$



## The DTFT of the auto-correlation and of the cross-correlation

- examples

let us admit two discrete-time signals,  $x[n]$  and  $y[n]$



it can be easily concluded that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[\ell] &= 3\delta[\ell] + 2\delta[\ell - 1] + \delta[\ell - 2] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} X(e^{j\omega}) = 3 + 2e^{-j\omega} + e^{-j2\omega} \\
 y[\ell] &= \delta[\ell] + 2\delta[\ell - 1] + 3\delta[\ell - 2] \xleftrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} Y(e^{j\omega}) = 1 + 2e^{-j\omega} + 3e^{-j2\omega}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R_x(e^{j\omega}) = 3e^{j2\omega} + 8e^{j\omega} + 14 + 8e^{-j\omega} + 3e^{-j2\omega} = R_y(e^{j\omega}), \text{ (why ?)}$$

$$R_{xy}(e^{j\omega}) = 9e^{j2\omega} + 12e^{j\omega} + 10 + 4e^{-j\omega} + e^{-j2\omega}$$